

Paul M. Beck Associate Director -Federal Regulatory SBC Services, Inc. 1401 I Street, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20005 Phone: 202 326-8869 Fax: 202 408-4805 Email: pb2178@sbc.com

March 4, 2005

Via Hand Delivery

RECEIVED - FCC

MAR - 4 2005

Mr. Hugh Boyle
Chief Auditor
Enforcement Bureau – Investigations and Hearings Division
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.; Room 6-C217
Washington, DC 20554

Federal Communication Communication Bureau / Office

Re: Condition VI of the SBC/Ameritech Merger Order (CC Docket No. 98-141)

Dear Mr. Boyle:

In accordance with the paragraph 22(d) of the SBC/Ameritech Merger Order, SBC (or "the Company") provides the January 31, 2005 report describing the status of the Company's nondiscriminatory roll-out of xDSL services. This report includes the xDSL deployment information by SBC/Ameritech states, including the number and name/location of urban and rural wire centers and low-income wire centers where the Company has deployed xDSL subject to the requirements of this Condition. With this report, it is the Company's understanding this Condition sunset as of January 31, 2005.²

In addition to the attachments, files are provided to you electronically via e-mail.

If you have any questions, you should feel free to contact me at (202) 326-8869...

Sincerely.

Attachments

Cc: Mr. Trent Harkrader, FCC-EB

Mr. Pete Young, FCC-EB Ms. Diana Lee, FCC-EB

M. Bell

¹ Applications of Ameritech Corp., Transferor, and SBC Communications Inc., Transferee, for Consent to Transfer Control of Corporations Holding Commission Licenses and Lines Pursuant to Sections 214 and 310(d) of the Communications Act and Parts 5, 22, 24, 25, 63, DF90, 95 and 101 of the Commission's Rules ("Merger Order"). Included in Appendix C of the Merger Order were Merger Conditions that affected the combined entities postmerger business operations of SBC Communications Inc. ("SBC" or "Company") and Ameritech Corporation ("Ameritech").

² See, Letter from David Cartwright (SBC) to Marlene Dortch (FCC), CC Docket No. 98-141 (Sept. 1, 2004). (SBC Ex Parte).

SBC/Ameritech Merger Condition 6 - Non-discriminatory Rollout of xDSL Services

1st Quarter 2005 Summary

URBAN

RURAL

STATE

ARKANSAS
CALIFORNIA
CONNECTICUT
ILLINOIS
INDIANA
KANSAS
MICHIGAN
MISSOURI
NEVADA
OHIO
OKLAHOMA
TEXAS

| URBAN LOW INCOME DEPLOYED | ** | 44 | 4.4 | ## | 5 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
|--|-----|----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|------|----|
| 10% TARGET URBAN LOW INCOME DEPLOYED | ** | 44 | ** | *** | 5 | ** | ** | 44 | ** | ** | 在 化 | 44.4 | 44 |
| URBAN WIRE CENTERS DEPLOYED | 4.4 | ** | ** | ** | 44 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | * | ** | ** |

| COME LOW INCOME DEPLOYED | ** | ** | ** | *** | ** | 中有 | ** | ** | ** | 化 教 | ** | ** | ** |
|--|----|----|----|-----|----|----|-----|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|
| 10% TARGET RURAL LOW INCOME DEPLOYED | ** | ** | 44 | 4.4 | ** | ** | 4.4 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| RURAL WIRE CENTERS DEPLOYED | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | 4.4 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |

- updates for this quarter.

MISCONSIN

Condition VI does not apply with respect to the urban and rural wire centers per the April 26, 2004 letter from Mr. William Davenport, ** Denotes states with Urban or Rural designation that have sunset where xDSL has been deployed in at least 20 wire centers in a particular state and for at least 36 months, at least 10 percent of the urban or rural wire centers are from the low income pool per paragraph 22 (a) and (22 (b) or where SBC had not deployed xDSL service in 20 urban or rural wire centers by October 8, 2002, Chief, Investigations and Hearings Division, Enforcement Bureau, FCC to Mr. Jim Lamoureux, Senior Counsel, SBC Telecommunications, Inc.

[#] Status as of January 31, 2005.

INDIANA URBAN

| | | T | | |
|----|-------|----------|----------------|------------|
| | State | CLLI | Classification | Low Income |
| 1 | IN | ARSNIN01 | Urban | No |
| 2 | IN | BDFRIN01 | Urban | No |
| 3 | IN | BLTNIN01 | Urban | Low Income |
| 4 | IN | CFVLIN01 | Urban | No |
| 5 | IN | CLMBIN01 | Urban | No |
| 6 | IN | CRMLIN01 | Urban | No |
| 7 | IN | CRPNINCX | Urban | No |
| 8 | IN | ECHCINEC | Urban | No |
| 9 | IN | EVVLIN02 | Urban | No |
| 10 | IN | EVVLIN03 | Urban | Low Income |
| 11 | IN | FSHRIN01 | Urban | No |
| 12 | IN | GARYINGO | Urban | No |
| 13 | IN | GARYINGR | Urban | No |
| 14 | IN | GNWDIN01 | Urban | No |
| 15 | IN | HGLDINHL | Urban | No |
| 16 | IN | HMNDINHE | Urban | No |
| 17 | IN | HMNDINHW | Urban | No |
| 18 | IN | IPLSIN01 | Urban | Low Income |
| 19 | IN | IPLSIN02 | Urban | No |
| 20 | IN | IPLSIN03 | Urban | No |
| 21 | IN | IPLSIN04 | Urban | No |
| 22 | IN | IPLSIN06 | Urban | Low Income |
| 23 | N | IPLSIN07 | Urban | No |
| 24 | IN | IPLSIN08 | Urban | No |
| 25 | IN | IPLSIN09 | Urban | No |
| 26 | IN | IPLSIN10 | Urban | No |
| 27 | IN | IPLSIN18 | Urban | No |
| 28 | IN | IPLSIN21 | Urban | No |
| 29 | IN | JFVLIN01 | Urban | No |
| 30 | IN | KOKMIN01 | Urban | No |
| 31 | IN | KOKMIN02 | Urban | No |
| 32 | IN | MARNIN03 | Urban | No |
| 33 | IN | MCCYIN01 | Urban | No |
| 34 | IN | MEVLINMX | Urban | No |
| 35 | IN | MSHWIN02 | Urban | No |
| 36 | IN | MUNCIN01 | Urban | Low Income |
| 37 | IN. | NBVLIN01 | Urban | No_ |
| 38 | IN | NWALIN01 | Urban | No |
| 39 | IN | NWCSIN01 | Urban | No |
| 40 | IN | PLFDIN01 | Urban | No |
| 41 | IN | SBNDIN01 | Urban | No |
| 42 | IN | SBNDIN04 | Urban | No |
| 43 | IN | SCVLINDY | Urban | No |
| 44 | IN | VINCIN01 | Urban | No |